## Attorneys At Law

May 19, 2022
Sue Baldwin
Town Clerk
Town of Wilton
22 Traver Road
Wilton, New York 12831
Re: Petition for Wilton Mall Mixed-Use Planned Unit Development District
Dear Sue:
Enclosed herewith please find the following in connection with the Joint Application of Wilton Mall, LLC/Macerich and Paramount Development, LLC for enactment of the Wilton Mall Mixed-Use Planned Unit Development District:

1. Application for Wilton Mall Mixed-Use Planned Unit Development District (PUDD);
2. Project Narrative of the Wilton Mall Mixed-Use Planned Unit Development District;
3. Executed FEAF Part I;
4. Town of Wilton - Proposed Zoning Legislation - Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD with (i) PUDD Appendix A - Legal Description, (ii) PUDD Appendix B, Permitted Uses, etc. and (iii) PUDD Appendix B - PUDD Sketch Plan;
5. Our firm check in the amount of $\$ 500$ to the Town of Wilton for the application fee;

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

$\mathrm{MJJ} / \mathrm{knc}$

TOWN OF WILTON
22 TRAVER ROAD
GANSEVOORT, NY 12831
PHONE: (518) 587-1939
Susan E. Baldwin
Town Clerk
Julie Hotaling
Deputy Town Clerk
FAX: (518) 587-2837
Website: www.townofwilton.com
APPLICATION FOR PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT (PUDD)

| APPLICANT |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name | Wilton Mall, LLC c/o Macerich |
| Address | 1162 Pittsford-Victor Road, Suite 100 |
| City | Pittsford |
| State $N$ NY Zip Code 14534 |  |
| PUDD SITE |  |


|  |  | Date | 5-18-2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E-mail | tawney.farmer@macerich.com |  |  |
| Phone | umber | (585) 2 | -4416 Office |
| Phone | umber | (585) 7 | -5401 Cell |


| Project Name | Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD | $\text { Tax Map (SBL) \# } \begin{aligned} & 153 .-3-86.112,153 .-3-86.12 \\ & 153 .-3-86.2,153 .-3-86.111 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site Address | 3065 Route 50, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866 | Zoning Classification | C-1 |

Bordering Streets Louden Road and NYS Route 50

DESCRIPTION: IS THIS AN AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING PUDD? No LOCAL LAW \#

| Total Acreage 101 | 101 | Building Area (Sq. Ft.) $\begin{aligned} & \text { +/- 680,000 Comm. } \\ & +/-420,000 \text { Resi. }\end{aligned}$ |  | Total No. of Parking Spacesa <br> $\begin{array}{l}+/-3,500 ~ C o m m e r c i a l ~ \\ +-710 \text { Residential }\end{array}$ <br> 1 a |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Single Family Dwellings | 0 | No. of Multi-Family Buildings $\square$ 86 Townhome |  | Total No. of Units in Multi-Family Bldgs. | +/-382 |
| No. of Commercial Buildings | 5 | Total Commercial Square Foot | 751,737 SF Existing - 71,740 SF (Bon-Ton) $=+/-680,000$ SF |  |  |

Project Narrative including identified public benefits and/or areas to be dedicated for public use, open space and recreation.
See attached.

## WATER/SEWER

| X Existing Water Hook-up | $\bigcirc$ New Water Hook-up | Distance to Nearest Water Line $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (X) Existing Public Sewer | $\bigcirc$ New Sewer Hook-up | Distance to Nearest Sewer Line |

## PROFESSIONALCONTACTINFO



# Project Narrative <br> of the <br> Wilton Mall Mixed-Use Planned Unit Development District 


#### Abstract

Pursuant to Chapter 129, Article XXI et seq. of the Code of the Town of Wilton, Macerich ("Macerich") and Paramount Development, LLC ("Paramount") (hereinafter collectively called "Petitioners") jointly petition the Town Board of the Town of Wilton to amend the Code of the Town of Wilton with the adoption of a Planned Unit Development District to be known as the Wilton Mall Mixed-Use Planned Unit Development District ("PUDD").


Macerich (www.macerich.com) is a leading owner, operator and developer of top retail and mixed-use destinations in primarily major U.S. markets (NYSE:MAC). Headquartered in Santa Monica, CA, Macerich also has a regional office located in Pittsford, NY that is responsible for their eastern properties, including the Wilton Mall. Macerich acquired title to the Wilton Mall ${ }^{1}$ in 2004 from the original developer, Wilmorite and has operated the mall since that time.

Paramount Development is based in Sarasota, Florida. Its principals, Don Paxton and Tom Settle have developed nearly 200 rental apartment communities in 28 states over the course of their 60 combined years of service. Paramount's game plan is to carve their niche at the top, raising the bar in every market in which they develop. In other words, they will exceed the standards of the latest-greatest communities in the marketplace with their best-in-class design, amenities and customer service. Paramount is working with many of the county's largest mall owners (and with the municipalities in which they operate) to introduce luxury rental apartments into their mall redevelopment programs. Paramount has contracted with Macerich to purchase two lots (8.678 and 4.881 acres) totaling 13.559 acres of land on the north easterly side of the mall property for purposes of developing up to 382 residential units consisting of 296 apartment units and 86 townhouses (the "Project").

The Project is an effort on the part of Macerich to combat national and local trends of declining sales at mall properties and specifically at the Wilton Mall. These trends are the result of a variety of factors that include, among others, increased competition from national companies with regional facilities capable of rapid delivery of consumer products to consumers at their homes and places of business. Amazon is the largest of these, but there are others who have entered the field.

[^0]The convenience offered by mall competitors has caused mall owners throughout the country to adopt a variety of measures to increase sales. Principal among these measures is the effort to increase foot traffic into their malls. To accomplish this, a number of malls have modified their zoning ordinances to accommodate residential development on or immediately adjacent to mall properties so as to offer the convenience of a short walk to shop, workout, enjoy a movie, or dine. In our area, such zoning efforts have been undertaken in Queensbury for property at the Aviation Mall.

Combined with the need to attract more customers, mall owners have undertaken the effort to work with municipalities to "modernize" as-of-right zoning by updating the permitted (commercial) uses so as to expedite the leasing process. Parking requirements are also in need of "modernization" to reflect the current retail environment and the reduction in need produced by cross sharing and varying peaks in a mixed-use environment. A great many municipal zoning ordinances have not kept pace with the live-work-play uses being sought by mall owners thereby casting doubt (and delay) on the determination of whether a use is permitted. Macerich desires to update the ordinance by making use of the Town's Planned Unit Development District legislation to (i) clarify the permitted use status for tenants and prospective tenants within the Wilton Mall, (ii) add more flexibility in reducing the large surpluses of parking on the property, and (iii) to add apartment and townhouse uses to the current mix of permitted, commercial uses. To that end, Petitioners have filed the within application for the creation of the Wilton Mall Mixed-Use Planned Unit Development District.

Attached hereto is (i) the proposed Wilton Mall Mixed-Use Planned Unit Development District legislation, (ii) a legal description of the 101 acres comprising the lands to be subject to the PUDD, (iii) a schedule of uses, parking requirements, greenspace requirements, density, and additional requirements to be incorporated into the PUDD, (iv) an Application for Planned Unit Development District, and (v) a PUDD sketch plan. During the initial presentation to the Town Board, Petitioners will present the first iteration of the Project plans that will result from the residential changes to the Zoning Code being sought herein.

In addition, this petition consists of a SEQRA LEAF which will be evaluated by the SEQRA lead agent in connection with the determination of the environmental impacts arising from the proposed zoning changes and the Project. The SERQA LEAF if supplemented with a Traffic Impact Evaluation and the attachments thereto.

## Full Environmental Assessment Form <br> Part 1 - Project and Setting

## Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A \& B. In Sections C, D \& E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section $G$ requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part lis accurate and complete.

## A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.
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## B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)

| Government Entity | If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required | Application Date (Actual or projected) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. City Counsel, Town Board, $\square$ Yes $\square$ No or Village Board of Trustees | Wilton Town Board, Creation of a PUDD | May 19, 2022 |
| b. City, Town or Village $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No Planning Board or Commission | Wilton Planning Board, Advisory Opinion, site plan and subdivision approvals |  |
|  |  |  |
| d. Other local agencies $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathbf{\square} \mathrm{No}$ |  |  |
| e. County agencies $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ | Saratoga County Planning Board, Advisory Opinion |  |
| f. Regional agencies $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ |  |  |
| g. State agencies $\quad$ VYYes $\square \mathrm{No}$ | NYS DOT, Possible Traffic Mitigation |  |
| h. Federal agencies $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ [/]No |  |  |
| i. Coastal Resources. <br> i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area <br> ii. Is the project site located in a communit iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosi | the waterfront area of a Designated Inland with an approved Local Waterfront Revitaliza Hazard Area? |  $\square$ Yes $\square$ No <br>  $\square$ Yes $\square$ No <br>  $\square$ Yes $\square$ No |

## C. Planning and Zoning

## C.1. Planning and zoning actions.

Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?

- If Yes, complete sections $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$ and G .
- If No, proceed to question C. 2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1


## C.2. Adopted land use plans.

a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located?
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; $\quad$ Yes $\square$ No Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?)
If Yes, identify the plan(s):
NYS Heritage Areas:Mohawk Valley Heritage Corridor
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?
If Yes, identify the plan(s):

| C.3. Zoning |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? <br> C-1, Commercial | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No |
| b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit? | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ \}  \o  |
| c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, <br> $i$. What is the proposed new zoning for the site? <br> Planned Unit Development District (PUDD) | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No |
| C.4. Existing community services. |  |
| a. In what school district is the project site located? City of Saratoga Springs |  |
| b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? Saratoga County Sheriff, New York State Police |  |
| c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? Maple Ave Fire Company No. 4 (Greenfield Fire District), Wilton Emergency Squad |  |
| d. What parks serve the project site? Gavin Park (10 Lewis Rd, Saratoga Springs) |  |

## D. Project Details

| D.1. Proposed and Potential Development |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, include all components)? Commercial, Office, Residential |  |
| b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? <br> b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? <br> c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? | 101 acres 14 acres 95 acres |
| c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? $i$. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansio square feet)? \% $\qquad$ Units: | dentify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, 382 |
| d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? If Yes, <br> i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercia Mixed-use: residential and commercial | చYes $\square$ No <br> mixed, specify types) |
| ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? <br> iii. Number of lots proposed? 2 new lots <br> $i v$. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum + +- 4.9 acres |   <br> mum $+1-8.7$ acres $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{NO} \mathrm{o}$ |
| e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? <br> i. If No, anticipated period of construction: <br> ii. If Yes: <br> - Total number of phases anticipated <br> - Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolitio <br> - Anticipated completion date of final phase <br> - Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, in determine timing or duration of future phases: $\qquad$ It is anticipated that the phase 1 aparments would be completed and occu |  <br> $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ <br> months $-\frac{2}{10} \text { month } \frac{2022}{2024 \text { year }}$ any contingencies where progress of one phase may <br> or to the development of phase 2 . |


| f. Does the project include new residential uses? <br> If Yes, show numbers of units proposed. <br> One Family |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Initial Phase |  |  |
| At completion |  |  |
| of all phases |  |  |

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:
iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments?

If Yes, describe:
$i v$. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No
If Yes:

- acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:
- expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:
- purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):
- proposed method of plant removal:
- if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):
$v$. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?

If Yes:
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: Additional 61,250 gallons/day
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No
If Yes:

- Name of district or service area: Wilton Water \& Sewer Authority
- Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No
iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project?

If Yes:

- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: $\qquad$
- Source(s) of supply for the district:
area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? $\square$ Yes $\bar{\nabla}$ No
$i v$. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?
Yes $\sqrt{ } \mathrm{No}$
If, Yes:
- Applicant/sponsor for new district: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ rict:
- Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:
$\nu$. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:
$v i$. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity: ___ gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?
$\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No
If Yes:
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: _ Additinal 61,250 gallons/day
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each):
Sanitary wastewater
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:
- Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: SCSD WWTP in Mechanicville, NY
- Name of district: Saratoga County Sewer District No. 1
- Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?

7 7 Yes $\square$ No

- Is the project site in the existing district? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
- Is expansion of the district needed? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
- Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?
- Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? If Yes:
- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:
$i v$. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site? If Yes:
- Applicant/sponsor for new district:
- Date application submitted or anticipated:
- What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans):
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste: $\qquad$
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?
If Yes:
$i$. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?
$\ldots$ ___ Square feet or _1.6 acres (impervious surface) Square feet or 101 acres (parcel size)
ii. Describe types of new point sources. Surface runoff from building roofs, driveways, and parking areas.
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?
Runoff will be directed to stormwater basin.
- If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands: NA
- Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?
$i v$. Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater? $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?
If Yes, identify:
$i$. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)
$i i . \overline{\text { Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers) }}$
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?
If Yes:
$i$ Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet $\quad \square$ Yes $\square$ No ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:
- ___ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$
- Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide ( $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ )
- ___ Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- __ Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride ( $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ )
- ___ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)
- ___Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)
h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)?
If Yes:
i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):
ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring): $\qquad$
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as $\quad \square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No quarry or landfill operations?
If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services?
If Yes: $i$. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): $\square$ Morning $\square$ Evening $\square$ Weekend Randomly between hours of $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ .
ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks): $\qquad$
iii. Parking spaces: Existing_ 4245 Proposed _ 4210 Net increase/decrease $\quad-35$
$i v$. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking?
$v$. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe:
vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed site?
vii Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles?
viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes?
k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy?
If Yes:
$i$. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action: $500,000 \mathrm{kWh} /$ year additional
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):
Grid/local utility
iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation?

1. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.
$i$. During Construction:

- Monday - Friday: $\qquad$ $7 \mathrm{am}-9 \mathrm{pm}$
- Saturday: $\qquad$
- Sunday: $\qquad$
- Holidays:


## ii. During Operations:

- Monday - Friday: $\qquad$
- Saturday: $\qquad$
- Sunday: $\qquad$
:
24 hours
- Holidays: 24 hours

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?

If Yes:
i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities):
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:
Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or - Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: years
t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ waste?
If Yes:
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility: $\qquad$
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents: $\qquad$
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated $\qquad$ tons/month
$i v$. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents: $\qquad$
$v$. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility?
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility:

## E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

## E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site

| a. Existing land uses. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Urban $\square$ Industrial $\square$ Commercial $\square$ Re | $\square$ Rural (non-farm) |  |  |
| $\square$ Forest $\square$ Agriculture $\square$ Aquatic $\square$ Oth | Other (specify): |  |  |
| ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: |  |  |  |
| The project site itself is comprised of commercial uses and is adjacent to additional commercial uses and county forest to the east. |  |  |  |
| b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site. |  |  |  |
| Land use or Covertype | Current Acreage | Acreage After Project Completion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change } \\ \text { (Acres }+/- \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ |
| - Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces | 70.2 | 71.8 | +1.6 |
| - Forested | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (nonagricultural, including abandoned agricultural) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Agricultural <br> (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Surface water features <br> (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Wetlands (freshwater or tidal) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - Other <br> Describe: Grass/mulch/plantings | 30.8 | 29.2 | -1.6 |



| $v$. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses? <br> - If yes, DEC site ID number: $\qquad$ <br> - Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): <br> - Describe any use limitations: $\qquad$ <br> - Describe any engineering controls: $\qquad$ <br> - Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? <br> - Explain: $\qquad$ | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square_{\mathrm{No}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site |  |
| a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? $\quad \geq 6$ feet |  |
| b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? <br> If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings? \% $\qquad$ | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ 乙 No |
|  |  |
| d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: |  |
| e. Drainage status of project site soils: $\square \square$ Well Drained:  <br> $\square$ Moderately Well Drained: $100 \%$ of site <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ Poorly Drained $\square$ |  |
|  |  |
| g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? If Yes, describe: $\qquad$ | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ \ No |
| h. Surface water features. <br> $i$. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)? <br> ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site? <br> If Yes to either $i$ or $i i$, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i. <br> iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency? <br> $i v$. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information: <br> - Streams: Name $\qquad$ Classification $\qquad$ <br> - Lakes or Ponds: Name $\qquad$ Classification $\qquad$ <br> - Wetlands: Name $\qquad$ Approximate Size <br> - Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) $\qquad$ <br> $v$. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired waterbodies? <br> If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired: $\qquad$ | Yes $\sqrt{7}$ No Yes $\sqrt{7}$ No Yes $\sqrt{ }$ No $\qquad$ Yes $\sqrt{\square}$ No |
| i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway? | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \mathrm{V}^{\text {No }}$ |
| j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ \ ${ }^{\text {No }}$ |
| k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \mathrm{Z}^{\text {No }}$ |
| 1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? If Yes: <br> i. Name of aquifer: ${ }^{\text {Principal Aquifer }}$ | $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square \mathrm{No}$ |


c. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archacological site, or district $\square$ Yes $\square$ No which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places?

## If Yes:

## i. Nature of historic/archacological resource: $\square$ Archaeological Site $\square$ Historic Building or District ii. Name:

iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for } \\
& \text { archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? } \\
& \text { g. Have additional archaeological or historic sites) or resources been identified on the project site? } \\
& \text { If Yes: } \\
& \text { i. Describe possible resource (s): } \\
& \text { it. Basis for identification: }
\end{aligned}
$$

h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state or local $\square \mathrm{Yes} \square$ No scenic or aesthetic resource?
If Yes:
i. Jentify resource: Saratoga County Kalabus Perry Trails; Ora Phelps Nature Preserve
ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (egg., established highway overtook. state or local park, state historic trail or scenic byway, etc.): Local scenic trails; local park.
iii. Distance between project and resource: ".................. 3 mites 7 miles.
i. Is the project site boated within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers $\square$ Yes No Program 6 NYCRR 666?
If Yes:
i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions combined in 6 NYCRR Parl 660 ?

## F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.
If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

## G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.
Applicant/Sponsor Name Wilton Matt LLC coo Macerich
Date $3 / 23 / 2002$

Title Tawney Farmer, Vice President, Development

March 24, 2022

Ref: 20908.00

Mr. Dusan Peric
Paramount Development, LLC
2 N. Tamiami Trail, 800
Sarasota, FL 34236

Re: $\quad$ Traffic Impact Evaluation, Wilton Mall Re-development, Town of Wilton, NY

Dear Mr. Peric:
VHB Engineering, Surveying, Landscape Architecture and Geology, PC (VHB) has conducted a traffic impact evaluation to assess the potential traffic impacts associated with the re-development of retail space on the Wilton Mall property with residential units located in the Town of Wilton, New York. The proposed Concept Plan, prepared by The LA Group, P.C. is included as Attachment A.

This letter includes an evaluation of the existing traffic operations and future conditions with and without construction of the proposed project. As detailed herein, the proposed project is expected to have minimal impact on local traffic operations.

## Site Location and Proposed Development

The $14.5 \pm$-acre project site, as shown in Figure 1, is located on the easterly side of the Wilton Mall property, south of NY Route 50 in the Town of Wilton, New York. The proposed project includes demolition of the currently vacant Bon Ton department store at the east end of the Wilton Mall and construction of four apartment buildings containing 296 apartment units and 86 townhouse units and includes reconfiguration of parking and access to parking at the east end of the mall property. Phase one proposes the construction of the four buildings consisting of 296 apartment units and Phase two consists of the 86 townhouse units. The project is anticipated to be fully constructed in 2024. General access to the site will be provided via the existing driveways to Wilton Mall. Direct access to the residential units is proposed via the existing Wilton Mall ring road with the four full movement access driveways operating under stop control. Two driveways will provide access to the 296-unit apartment buildings on the west side of Wilton Mall Road and two driveways will provide access to the 86 townhouse units on the east side of Wilton Mall Road. This study evaluates the potential impacts for full construction of the project.

## Existing Conditions

Based on a review of the study area and magnitude of traffic generated by the project and consultation with the Town of Wilton, the traffic study includes an evaluation of the NY Route 50 at South Wilton Mall Driveway/The Shoppes at Wilton Driveway, NY Route 50 at North Wilton Mall Driveway/Lowes Drive and Louden Road at Wilton Mall Driveway, as shown in Figure 1. The following section provides a description of the existing study area roadway and intersection characteristics.
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## NY Route 50

NY Route 50 is classified as an urban minor arterial that generally provides north-south travel throughout Saratoga County. At the project site, the roadway travels in northeast-southwest direction. For the purposes of this study, NY Route 50 is identified as an east-west roadway. Near the project location, NY Route 50 generally provides a 12-foot wide travel lane in each direction with turn lanes at intersections and eight to ten-foot wide paved shoulders on both sides of the roadway. NY Route 50 has a posted speed limit of $40-\mathrm{mph}$ near the project site. There are no sidewalks on NY Route 50 in the vicinity of the project, so pedestrians use the shoulders and bicyclists use the shoulders and/or share the roadway with motorized vehicles. Land use on NY Route 50 near the project site is primarily commercial.

## Louden Road

Louden Road is classified as local Town of Wilton roadway that generally provides east-west travel between NY Route 50 to the west and NY Route 29 to the east. Near the project site, Louden Road provides an 11-foot wide travel lane in each direction with two to three-foot wide paved shoulders on both sides of the roadway. Louden Road has a posted speed limit of $45-\mathrm{mph}$ near the project site and is posted with an eight-ton weight limit. There are no sidewalks on Louden Road near the project, so pedestrians use the shoulders and bicyclists use the shoulders and/or share the roadway with motorized vehicles. Land use on Louden Road near the project site is primarily commercial or undeveloped.

## Wilton Mall Road

Wilton Mall Road surrounds the Wilton Mall and provides access to parking for the Wilton Mall and the out parcels. Wilton Mall Road provides one 12-foot wide travel lane in the clockwise direction and two 12-foot wide travel lanes in the counter-clockwise direction. The posted speed limit is $15-\mathrm{mph}$. There are no sidewalks on Wilton Mall Road but there is a multi-use path from Loudon Road to the south side of the proposed project on the east side of Wilton Mall Road. Land use at the Wilton Mall is a mix of retail, restaurant, office, and health and fitness.

## NY Route 50 at South Wilton Mall Driveway/The Shoppes at Wilton Driveway

The NY Route 50 at South Wilton Mall Driveway/The Shoppes at Wilton Driveway intersection is a four-leg signalized intersection. The NY Route 50 eastbound approach provides a left-turn lane, a through lane, and a rightturn lane. The NY Route 50 westbound approach provides a left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The South Wilton Mall Driveway northbound approach is a divided roadway providing a shared left-turn/through lane and a right-turn lane. The Shoppes at Wilton Driveway southbound approach provides a left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. Marked crosswalks and pedestrian countdown timers, signals, and pushbuttons are provided on the westbound and northbound approaches.

NY Route 50 at North Wilton Mall Driveway/Lowes Drive
The NY Route 50 at North Wilton Mall Driveway/Lowes Drive intersection is a four-leg signalized intersection. The NY Route 50 eastbound approach provides a left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The NY Route 50 westbound approach provides a left-turn lane, a through lane, and a right-turn lane. The North Wilton Mall Driveway northbound approach is a divided roadway that provides a left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The Lowes Drive southbound approach provides a left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. Marked
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crosswalks and pedestrian countdown timers, signals, and pushbuttons are provided on the eastbound and northbound approaches.

## Louden Road at Wilton Mall Driveway

The Louden Road at Wilton Mall Driveway intersection is a three-leg unsignalized intersection with the southbound Wilton Mall driveway approach operating under stop control. The Louden Road eastbound approach provides a left-turn lane and a through lane. The Louden Road westbound approach provides single lane for shared travel movements. The southbound Wilton Mall Driveway approach provides a left-turn lane and a right-turn lane. No marked crosswalks or additional pedestrian accommodations are provided at the intersection.

## Traffic Volumes

Automatic Traffic Recorder (ATR) data collected by the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) in October 2018 illustrates general traffic volumes in the study area and is summarized in Table 1. The NYSDOT data can be referenced online on the NYSDOT Traffic Data Viewer.

Table 1 Existing Traffic Volume Summary

|  |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location | Daily Volume ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Vol $^{\text {b }}$ | K Factor $^{c}$ | Dir. Dist. | Vol | K Factor | Dir. Dist. |  |
| NY Route $50^{\text {d }}$ | 12,472 | 641 | $5.1 \%$ | $68 \%$ | WB | 1,194 | $9.6 \%$ | $63 \%$ EB |

Source: NYSDOT data dated October 2018.
a Daily traffic expressed in vehicles per day (vpd).
b Peak hour volumes expressed in vehicles per hour.
c Percent of daily traffic which occurs during the peak hour.
Table 1 shows that NY Route 50 carries 12,472 vehicles per day (vpd) on a typical weekday, with 5.1 percent of the daily traffic occurring during the weekday AM peak hour and 9.6 percent occurring during the weekday PM peak hour. NY Route 50 traffic is heavier in the westbound direction during the weekday AM peak hour and heavier in the eastbound direction during the weekday PM peak hour. Table 1 also shows that the AM peak hour volume is approximately half of the weekday PM peak hour volume which can be expected given the heavy commercial land use in the project area and that these commercial land uses are not typically operational during the weekday AM peak hour. A review of the NYSDOT ATR data also shows that the Saturday midday peak hour volume is lower than the weekday PM peak hour. The volume review shows that the weekday PM peak hour is the critical peak hour of analysis.

Peak hour turning movement counts (TMCs) were conducted at the NY Route 50 at South Wilton Mall Driveway/The Shoppes at Wilton Driveway, NY Route 50 at North Wilton Mall Driveway/Lowes Drive, and Louden Road at Wilton Mall Driveway on Thursday, March 3, 2022, during the weekday PM peak period from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. Based on the collected data, the weekday PM peak hour occurred from 4:15 to 5:15 p.m. at the NY Route 50 intersections and from 4:30 to 5:30 p.m. at the Louden Road intersection.

Traffic counts were conducted at a time when traffic volumes could be affected by the COVID-19 Pandemic. To account for potential traffic volume changes, hourly traffic volumes were obtained using an ATR on NY Route 50.
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The ATR was installed for a four-day period beginning Thursday, March 3, 2022 through Sunday, March 6, 2022, and coincides with data available from the NYSDOT Traffic Data Viewer that are representative of pre-COVID conditions.

A comparison of the March ATR data with the NYSDOT 2018 ATR data and a comparison of the peak period traffic volumes collected at the NY Route 50 at South Wilton Mall Driveway/The Shoppes at Wilton Driveway intersection as compared to the daily traffic volume data collected by NYSDOT in 2018 indicated that the current turning movement count data is higher than the NYSDOT data during the weekday PM peak period. No COVID-19 adjustment factor was applied to the weekday PM peak hour. The traffic volume count data for the weekday PM peak period is provided in Attachment B. The 2022 Existing PM peak hour traffic volumes are illustrated on Figure 2.

## Future Conditions

To determine the impacts of the site-generated traffic volumes near the site, future traffic conditions were evaluated with and without the proposed project. The project is expected to be fully built and occupied in 2024.

Traffic growth on area roadways is a function of the expected land development, environmental activity, and changes in demographics. A frequently used procedure is to identify estimated traffic generated by planned developments that would be expected to affect the project study area roadways. An alternative procedure is to estimate an annual percentage increase and apply that increase to study area traffic volumes. For this evaluation, both procedures were used. The following summarizes this traffic forecasting process.

## Historic Growth

Information provided by the Capital District Transportation Committee (CDTC) indicates that traffic volumes on NY Route 50, near the study area are increasing by an annual growth rate of 0.52 percent east of the Lowes Drive and 0.39 percent per year west of Lowes Drive. A growth rate of 0.50 percent for two years was used for this project.

## Site Specific Growth

Based on information provided by the Town and VHB's knowledge of proposed projects in the study area, trips associated with the following projects were added to the study area intersections as appropriate:
> Perry Crossing - Retail development including the construction of a $\pm 6,889$ square foot (sf) auto parts store, a $\pm 6,113$ sf tire retailer, and a $\pm 2,033$ sf bank located on the northwest quadrant of the Perry Road at NY Route 50 intersection.
, Ingersoll Road Conservation Residential Subdivision - a 41-unit single family home residential subdivision located on Ingersoll Road, northeast of the project site.
> Wendy's - Conversion of an existing Friendly's restaurant to a Wendy's fast food restaurant located on the southwest quadrant of the NY Route 50 at North Wilton Mall Driveway/Lowes Drive intersection.
, Forest Grove Residential Subdivision - a 321-unit single family residential development on Putnam Lane northeast of the project site.

No-Build Traffic Volumes
The 2024 No-Build traffic volumes were generated with consideration of the general and site-specific growth described above. The resulting 2024 No-Build PM peak hour traffic volumes are provided on Figure 3 and represent future traffic volumes in the study area prior to development of the proposed project.

## Site Generated Traffic Volumes

To estimate the site-generated traffic anticipated at the project site, the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) publication Trip Generation, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition ${ }^{1}$ was utilized. The number of vehicle trips generated by the proposed project at full buildout was estimated based on ITE Land Use Code (LUC) 220 - Multifamily Residential (Low-Rise) and LUC 221 Multifamily Residential (Mid-Rise). The trip generation estimate for the proposed project is summarized in Table 2.

## Table 2 Trip Generation Summary

| Land Use | Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekday AM |  |  | Weekday PM |  |  | Saturday Midday |  |  |
|  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| Multifamily (Mid-Rise) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 27 | 92 | 119 | 71 | 45 | 116 | 61 | 58 | 119 |
| Multifamily (Low-Rise) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 12 | 38 | 50 | 36 | 22 | 58 | 18 | 17 | 35 |
| Total | 39 | 130 | 169 | 107 | 67 | 174 | 79 | 75 | 154 |

a Trip generation estimate based on ITE LUC 221 - Multifamily Residential (Mid-Rise) for 296 units
b Trip generation estimate based on ITE LUC 220 - Multifamily Residential (Low-Rise) for 86 units.

The proposed project is expected to generate 169 new vehicle trips during the weekday AM peak hour (39 entering and 130 exiting), 174 new vehicle trips during the weekday PM peak hour ( 107 entering and 67 exiting) and 154 new vehicle trips during the Saturday midday peak hour (79 entering and 75 exiting). It expected that residents of the proposed project will travel to many of the land uses on the Wilton Mall property like the BJ's Wholesale Club or Planet Fitness. The trip generation estimate and following intersection capacity analyses do not account for trips internal to the Wilton Mall property.

While the trip generation for the weekday AM and Saturday midday peak hours is generally comparable to the trip generation for the weekday PM peak hour, given that the weekday PM peak hour is the critical peak hour for analysis for the project since it has a higher existing volume, it represents a worst-case scenario.

The magnitude of site generated trips results in less than the NYSDOT and ITE trip thresholds of the generation of 100 vehicle trips on a single intersection approach for determining the need for detailed off-site intersection analysis. These agency thresholds were developed as a tool to identify locations where the magnitude of traffic generated has the potential to impact operations at off-site intersections and screen out locations that do not meet

[^1]Mr. Dusan Peric
Ref: 20908.00
March 24, 2022
Page 6
the threshold and are therefore unlikely to require mitigation. However, based on the consultation with the Town, the traffic evaluation includes a detailed evaluation of the three study area intersections.

## Trip Distribution

The directional distribution of traffic approaching and departing the site is a function of several variables including population densities, existing travel patterns, and the efficiency of the roadways leading to and from the site. Based on a review of the existing travel patterns and population centers in the area it is estimated that 65 percent of the site generated traffic will travel to and from the west on NY Route 50, 30 percent will travel to and from the east on NY Route 50, and five percent will travel to and from the east on Louden Road. The trip distribution pattern is illustrated on Figure 4.

## Build Traffic Volumes

The project-related traffic volumes shown in Table 2 were assigned to the study area roadway network based on the trip distribution and are shown on Figure 5. These assigned volumes were then added to the 2024 No-Build peak hour traffic volumes to develop the 2024 Build peak hour traffic volumes. The 2024 Build traffic volumes are summarized on Figure 6.

## Traffic Operations Analysis

Capacity analyses provide an indication of how well the roadway facilities serve the traffic demands placed upon them. Roadway operating conditions are classified by calculated levels of service (LOS). The evaluation criteria used to analyze the study area intersections is based on the procedures set forth in the latest version of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) ${ }^{2}$. LOS is a measure that considers several factors including roadway geometry, speed, and travel delay. Levels of service range from A to F, with LOS A representing short vehicle delays and LOS F representing longer vehicle delays. The level of service designations, which are based on delay and capacity, are reported differently for signalized and unsignalized intersections. The LOS definitions are included in Attachment C.

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

Levels of service analyses were conducted for the 2022 Existing, 2024 No-Build, and 2024 Build conditions for the three study area intersections during the weekday PM peak hour. Table 3 summarizes the capacity analysis results for these study area intersections. The capacity analyses worksheets are included in Attachment D.
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Table 3 Intersection Levels of Service Summary - Weekday PM Peak Hour


The analyses show that the NY Route 50 and Wilton Mall Driveways will operate at acceptable overall LOS (LOS B and LOS C) for the weekday PM peak hour for each of the Existing, No-Build, and Build conditions, with all movements operating at LOS D or better. Table 3 shows that there are some instances where the average delay for a movement is higher during the Existing condition than during the Build condition. This is because the traffic signal will work within the parameters of the traffic signal timing settings and automatically reallocate the amount of green time for a movement based on the traffic volume for critical movements. The Louden Road at Wilton Mall

Driveway intersection movements will operate at LOS C or better with no changes between the No-Build and Build conditions. No improvements are necessary to accommodate the trips associated with the proposed project.

## Conclusions

VHB has conducted a traffic impact evaluation to assess the potential traffic impacts associated with the redevelopment of retail space on the Wilton Mall property with residential units located south of NY Route 50 in the Town of Wilton, New York. Access to the residential units is proposed via the existing Wilton Mall Road. Two full access driveways will serve the 296-unit apartment buildings on the west side of Wilton Mall Road and two full access driveways will serve the 86 townhouse units on the east side of Wilton Mall Road. The analysis prepared was based upon full-build out with the project anticipated to be fully constructed by 2024.

- Based on consultation with the Town, the traffic evaluation focused on the critical weekday PM peak hour at the following intersections:
o US Route 50 at South Wilton Mall Driveway/The Shoppes at Wilton Driveway
o US Route 50 at North Wilton Mall Driveway/Lowes Drive
o Loudon Road/Wilton Mall Driveway
- The proposed project is expected to generate 169 new vehicle trips during the weekday AM peak hour (39 entering and 130 exiting), 174 new vehicle trips during the weekday PM peak hour ( 107 entering and 67 exiting) and 154 new vehicle trips during the Saturday midday peak hour ( 79 entering and 75 exiting).
- The capacity analysis shows that with construction of the proposed project, the NY Route 50 at Wilton Mall Driveway intersections maintain the same overall LOS between the 2024 No-Build and Build conditions, with the overall LOS at LOS B for the NY Route 50 at South Wilton Mall Driveway/The Shoppes at Wilton Driveway intersection and LOS C for the NY Route 50 at North Wilton Mall Driveway/Lowes Drive.
- The Louden Road at Wilton Mall Driveway shows the same LOS during the Build condition as the No-Build condition with all movements operating at LOS C or better.
The proposed development will be adequately serviced by the existing intersections and roadway network and no off-site mitigation is recommended as a result of the proposed project.

Please call with questions regarding the above evaluation.
Sincerely,

## VHB




John Donnan, IE
Project Engineer
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## Attachment A - Concept Plan



## Attachment B - Turning Movement Count Data

Tri-State Traffic Data: New York Division 184 Baker Rd

Count Name: NY 50 Wilton Mall
Entrance / Best Buy Entrance
Site Code: Wilton, New York
Start Date: 03/03/2022
Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

| Start <br> Time | NY 50 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wilton Mall Entrance Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | NY 50 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Best Buy Entrance Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Int. <br> Tota <br> I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | Righ $t$ on Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota I | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | Righ $t$ on Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota I | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | Righ $t$ on Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota I | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | Righ t on Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota I |  |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 0 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 29 | 7 | 99 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 298 |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 23 | 2 | 128 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 363 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 92 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 24 | 7 | 125 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 341 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 114 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 38 | 2 | 116 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 374 |
| Hourly Total | 8 | 0 | 391 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 410 | 16 | 17 | 34 | 125 | 0 | 1 | 192 | 114 | 18 | 468 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 703 | 14 | 40 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 1376 |
| 5:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 24 | 3 | 117 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 327 |
| 5:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 30 | 8 | 122 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 338 |
| 5:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 73 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 33 | 6 | 116 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 329 |
| 5:45 PM | 5 | 1 | 95 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 45 | 0 | 107 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 316 |
| Hourly Total | 12 | 1 | 350 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 373 | 16 | 20 | 34 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 132 | 17 | 462 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 705 | 13 | 30 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 1310 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 20 | 1 | 741 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 783 | 32 | 37 | 68 | 223 | 0 | 1 | 360 | 246 | 35 | 930 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 1408 | 27 | 70 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 2686 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Approach } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 2.6 | 0.1 | 94.6 | 2.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 8.9 | 10.3 | 18.9 | 61.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 17.5 | 2.5 | 66.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 20.0 | 51.9 | 16.3 | 11.9 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.7 | 0.0 | 27.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | - | 29.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 2.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | - | 13.4 | 9.2 | 1.3 | 34.6 | 7.3 | 0.0 | - | 52.4 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | 5.0 | - |
| $\underset{\mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{Motorcycle}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Motorcycle } \\ \text { s } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 20 | 1 | 734 | 21 | 0 | - | 776 | 32 | 37 | 68 | 222 | 0 | - | 359 | 246 | 33 | 919 | 197 | 0 | - | 1395 | 26 | 70 | 22 | 16 | 0 | - | 134 | 2664 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Cars \& } \\ \text { Light } \\ \text { Goods } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 | 99.1 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.6 | - | - | 99.7 | 100.0 | 94.3 | 98.8 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.1 | 96.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.3 | 99.2 |
| Other Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | - | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 22 |
| \% Other Vehicles | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Bicycles } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Crosswalk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Bicycles } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Crosswalk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pedestrian } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Pedestrian } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Turning Movement Data Plot

Tri-State Traffic Data: New York Division 184 Baker Rd

Count Name: NY 50 Wilton Mall
Entrance / Best Buy Entrance
Site Code: Wilton, New York
Start Date: 03/03/2022

| Start <br> Time | NY 50 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wilton Mall Entrance Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\text { NY } 50$ <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Best Buy Entrance Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Int. } \\ \text { Tota } \\ \text { I } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Righ } \\ & \text { t on } \\ & \text { Red } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota $\qquad$ 1 | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | Righ ton Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota 1 | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | Righ ton Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota 1 | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | Righ ton Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota 1 |  |
| 4:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 23 | 2 | 128 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 363 |
| 4:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 92 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 24 | 7 | 125 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 341 |
| 4:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 114 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 38 | 2 | 116 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 374 |
| 5:00 PM | 4 | 0 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 24 | 3 | 117 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 327 |
| Total | 10 | 0 | 397 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 418 | 18 | 19 | 33 | 128 | 0 | 1 | 198 | 109 | 14 | 486 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 717 | 13 | 39 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 1405 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Approach } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 2.4 | 0.0 | 95.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 9.1 | 9.6 | 16.7 | 64.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 15.2 | 2.0 | 67.8 | 15.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 18.1 | 54.2 | 16.7 | 11.1 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 0.7 | 0.0 | 28.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | - | 29.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 9.1 | 0.0 | - | 14.1 | 7.8 | 1.0 | 34.6 | 7.7 | 0.0 | - | 51.0 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | - | 5.1 | - |
| PHF | $\begin{gathered} 0.62 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.000 | 0.871 | 0.688 | 0.000 | - | 0.871 | 0.643 | 0.679 | 0.688 | 0.800 | 0.000 | - | 0.868 | 0.717 | 0.500 | 0.949 | 0.844 | 0.000 | - | 0.964 | 0.464 | 0.650 | 1.000 | 0.667 | 0.000 | - | 0.857 | 0.939 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Motorcycle } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Motorcycle } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 10 | 0 | 395 | 11 | 0 | - | 416 | 18 | 19 | 33 | 128 | 0 | - | 198 | 109 | 12 | 479 | 108 | 0 | - | 708 | 12 | 39 | 12 | 8 | 0 | - | 71 | 1393 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Cars \& } \\ \text { Light } \\ \text { Goods } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | - | 99.5 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.7 | 98.6 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.7 | 92.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.6 | 99.1 |
| Other Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | - | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 12 |
| \% Other Vehicles | 0.0 | - | 0.5 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 1.4 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.3 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Bicycles } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Crosswalk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pedestrian } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Pedestrian } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:15 PM)

Tri-State Traffic Data: New York Division 184 Baker Rd

Count Name: NY 50 / Lowes
Drive
Site Code: Wilton, New York
Start Date: 03/03/2022
Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

| Start <br> Time | NY 50 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wilton Mall Entrance Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | NY 50 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lowes Dr <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Int. <br> Tota <br> I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\text { Righ }}$ | Righ ton Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota I | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | Righ $t$ on Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota I | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | Righ $t$ on Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota I | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | Righ ton Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota I |  |
| 4:00 PM | 15 | 8 | 61 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 99 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 347 |
| 4:15 PM | 14 | 8 | 68 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 10 | 7 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 17 | 8 | 21 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 373 |
| 4:30 PM | 21 | 7 | 67 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 19 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 103 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 378 |
| 4:45 PM | 30 | 6 | 78 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 17 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 23 | 9 | 15 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 414 |
| Hourly Total | 80 | 29 | 274 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 444 | 55 | 42 | 49 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 2 | 1 | 436 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 512 | 66 | 29 | 62 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 1512 |
| 5:00 PM | 22 | 9 | 63 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 21 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 118 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 382 |
| 5:15 PM | 17 | 9 | 64 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 18 | 11 | 7 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 362 |
| 5:30 PM | 16 | 11 | 57 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 96 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 12 | 6 | 14 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 322 |
| 5:45 PM | 16 | 9 | 66 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 355 |
| Hourly Total | 71 | 38 | 250 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 422 | 60 | 35 | 37 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 4 | 1 | 435 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 506 | 58 | 35 | 42 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 325 | 1421 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Total | 151 | 67 | 524 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 866 | 115 | 77 | 86 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 360 | 6 | 2 | 872 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 1019 | 124 | 64 | 104 | 397 | 0 | 0 | 689 | 2934 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Approach } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 17.4 | 7.7 | 60.5 | 14.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 31.9 | 21.4 | 23.9 | 22.8 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.6 | 0.2 | 85.6 | 13.6 | 0.0 | - | - | 18.0 | 9.3 | 15.1 | 57.6 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 5.1 | 2.3 | 17.9 | 4.2 | 0.0 | - | 29.5 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 0.0 | - | 12.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 29.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | - | 34.7 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | - | 23.5 | - |
| Motorcycle s | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Motorcycle } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 150 | 67 | 519 | 124 | 0 | - | 860 | 115 | 77 | 81 | 81 | 0 | - | 354 | 3 | 2 | 863 | 139 | 0 | - | 1007 | 124 | 64 | 99 | 397 | 0 | - | 684 | 2905 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Cars \& } \\ \text { Light } \\ \text { Goods } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 99.3 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.2 | 98.8 | - | - | 98.3 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.3 | 99.0 |
| Other Vehicles | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | - | 6 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | - | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | 5 | 29 |
| \% Other Vehicles | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 1.2 | - | - | 1.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Bicycles } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Crosswalk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pedestrian } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Pedestrian } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Turning Movement Data Plot

Tri-State Traffic Data: New York Division 184 Baker Rd

Count Name: NY 50 / Lowes

Coatesville , Pennsylvania, United States 19320
Drive
Site Code: Wilton, New York
Start Date: 03/03/2022 610-517-2338 bkarz@tstdata.com

Page No: 3

| Start <br> Time | NY 50 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | urning Movement <br> Wilton Mall Entrance Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | NY 50 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Righ  <br> t Righ <br> ton <br> Red <br>   |  | Lowes Dr Eastbound |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. <br> Tota <br> 1 | Int. <br> Tota <br> 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\underset{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{Righ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Righ } \\ & \text { t on } \\ & \text { Red } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota $\qquad$ | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | Righ ton Red | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota 1 | $\underset{t}{\text { Righ }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Righ } \\ & \text { ton } \\ & \text { Red } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ped } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | App. Tota 1 |  |  | Thru | Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U- } \\ & \text { Turn } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 4:15 PM | 14 | 8 | 68 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 10 | 7 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 144 | 17 | 8 | 21 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 373 |
| 4:30 PM | 21 | 7 | 67 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 19 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 0 | 103 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 378 |
| 4:45 PM | 30 | 6 | 78 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 17 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 23 | 9 | 15 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 414 |
| 5:00 PM | 22 | 9 | 63 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 21 | 12 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 118 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 382 |
| Total | 87 | 30 | 276 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 67 | 39 | 45 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 3 | 0 | 455 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 533 | 66 | 31 | 61 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 365 | 1547 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Approach } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | 19.3 | 6.7 | 61.3 | 12.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 33.7 | 19.6 | 22.6 | 24.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.6 | 0.0 | 85.4 | 14.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 18.1 | 8.5 | 16.7 | 56.7 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 5.6 | 1.9 | 17.8 | 3.7 | 0.0 | - | 29.1 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 0.0 | - | 12.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 4.8 | 0.0 | - | 34.5 | 4.3 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 13.4 | 0.0 | - | 23.6 | - |
| PHF | $\begin{gathered} 0.72 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.833 | 0.885 | 0.750 | 0.000 | - | 0.846 | 0.798 | 0.750 | 0.804 | 0.800 | 0.000 | - | 0.858 | 0.375 | 0.000 | 0.948 | 0.781 | 0.000 | - | 0.925 | 0.717 | 0.861 | 0.726 | 0.892 | 0.000 | - | 0.877 | 0.934 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Motorcycle } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Motorcycle } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 87 | 30 | 274 | 57 | 0 | - | 448 | 67 | 39 | 42 | 48 | 0 | - | 196 | 1 | 0 | 450 | 75 | 0 | - | 526 | 66 | 31 | 58 | 207 | 0 | - | 362 | 1532 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Cars \& } \\ \text { Light } \\ \text { Goods } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 | 99.3 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.5 | 33.3 | - | 98.9 | 100.0 | - | - | 98.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.1 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.2 | 99.0 |
| Other Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 15 |
| \% Other Vehicles | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.5 | 66.7 | - | 1.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Bicycles } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Crosswalk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Bicycles } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Crosswalk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pedestrian } \\ \mathrm{s} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Pedestrian } \\ \mathrm{s} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:15 PM)

Start Date: 03/03/2022
Page No: 1

Turning Movement Data

| Start Time | Turning Movement Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Wilton Mall Entrance |  |  |  |  |  | Louden Rd |  |  |  | Luuden Rd |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
|  | Right | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. Total |  |
| 4:00 PM | 38 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 41 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 154 |
| 4:15 PM | 41 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 51 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 163 |
| 4:30 PM | 46 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 4 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 65 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 192 |
| 4:45 PM | 32 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 59 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 166 |
| Hourly Total | 157 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 17 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 216 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 343 | 675 |
| 5:00 PM | 40 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 53 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 166 |
| 5:15 PM | 33 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 61 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 170 |
| 5:30 PM | 35 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 7 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 49 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 176 |
| 5:45 PM | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 36 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 136 |
| Hourly Total | 148 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 18 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 199 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 648 |
| 6:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Total | 305 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 35 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 415 | 241 | 0 | 0 | 656 | 1323 |
| Approach \% | 73.5 | 26.5 | 0.0 | - | - | 13.9 | 86.1 | 0.0 | - | - | 63.3 | 36.7 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 23.1 | 8.3 | 0.0 | - | 31.4 | 2.6 | 16.4 | 0.0 | - | 19.0 | 31.4 | 18.2 | 0.0 | - | 49.6 | - |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | $-$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 302 | 110 | 0 | $-$ | 412 | 35 | 211 | 0 | - | 246 | 414 | 241 | 0 | - | 655 | 1313 |
| \% Cars \& Light Goods | 99.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.3 | 100.0 | 97.2 | - | - | 97.6 | 99.8 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.8 | 99.2 |
| Other Vehicles | 3 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | - | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 10 |
| \% Other Vehicles | 1.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.8 | - | - | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | - | $-$ | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - |



Turning Movement Data Plot

Tri-State Traffic Data: New York Division 184 Baker Rd

Count Name: Louden Rd /
Coatesville , Pennsylvania, United States 19320
Wilton Mall Entrance
Site Code: Wilton,New York
Start Date: 03/03/2022
Page No: 3

Turning Movement Peak Hour Data (4:30 PM)

| Start Time | Wilton Mall Entrance Southbound |  |  |  |  | Louden Rd <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | Luuden Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Right | Thru | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total | Thru | Left | U-Turn | Peds | App. <br> Total |  |
| 4:30 PM | 46 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 4 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 65 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 192 |
| 4:45 PM | 32 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 5 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 59 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 166 |
| 5:00 PM | 40 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 53 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 166 |
| 5:15 PM | 33 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 61 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 170 |
| Total | 151 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 17 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 238 | 134 | 0 | 0 | 372 | 694 |
| Approach \% | 73.7 | 26.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 14.5 | 85.5 | 0.0 | - | - | 64.0 | 36.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - |
| Total \% | 21.8 | 7.8 | 0.0 | - | 29.5 | 2.4 | 14.4 | 0.0 | - | 16.9 | 34.3 | 19.3 | 0.0 | - | 53.6 | - |
| PHF | 0.821 | 0.844 | 0.000 | - | 0.854 | 0.850 | 0.781 | 0.000 | - | 0.813 | 0.915 | 0.905 | 0.000 | - | 0.969 | 0.904 |
| Motorcycles | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| \% Motorcycles | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cars \& Light Goods | 149 | 54 | 0 | - | 203 | 17 | 100 | 0 | - | 117 | 238 | 134 | 0 | - | 372 | 692 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Cars \& Light } \\ \text { Goods } \end{gathered}$ | 98.7 | 100.0 | - | - | 99.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | 100.0 | 99.7 |
| Other Vehicles | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 |
| \% Other Vehicles | 1.3 | 0.0 | - | - | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



Turning Movement Peak Hour Data Plot (4:30 PM)

Attachment C - LOS Definitions

## Level of Service Definitions

## Signal Controlled Intersections

The evaluation criteria used to analyze signalized intersections is based on the procedures set forth in the latest version of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) ${ }^{1}$.

The level of service (LOS) of a signalized intersection can be characterized for the entire intersection, each intersection approach, and each lane group. Control delay alone is used to characterize LOS for the entire intersection or an approach. Control delay and volume-to-capacity ratio are used to characterize LOS for a lane group. Delay quantifies the increase in travel time due to traffic signal control. It is also a measure of driver discomfort and fuel consumption. The volume-to-capacity ratio quantifies the degree to which a phase's capacity is utilized by a lane group.

The levels of service range between level of service A (relatively congestion-free) and level of service $F$ (congested).

Level of service A - This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is low and either progression is exceptionally favorable or the cycle length is very short. If LOS A is the result of favorable progression, most vehicles arrive during the green indication and travel through the intersection without stopping.

Level of service B - This level is typically assigned when the volume-tocapacity ratio is low and either progression is highly favorable or the cycle length is short. More vehicles stop than with LOS A.

Level of service C - This level is typically assigned when progression is favorable or the cycle length is moderate. Individual cycle failures (i.e., one or more queued vehicles are not able to depart as a result of insufficient capacity during the cycle) may begin to appear at this level. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many vehicles still pass through the intersection without stopping.

Level of service $\mathbf{D}$ - This level is typically assigned when the volume-tocapacity ratio is high and either progression is ineffective, or the cycle length is long. Many vehicles stop and individual cycle failures are noticeable.

Level of service E - This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is high, progression is unfavorable, and the cycle length is long. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

[^3]Level of Service F - This level is typically assigned when the volume-to-capacity ratio is very high, progression is very poor, and the cycle length is long. Most cycles fail to clear the queue.

A lane group can incur a delay less than $80 \mathrm{~s} /$ veh when the volume-to-capacity ratio exceeds 1.0. This condition typically occurs when the cycle length is short, the signal progression is favorable, or both. As a result, both the delay and volume-to-capacity ratio are considered when lane group LOS is established. A ratio of 1.0 or more indicates cycle capacity is fully utilized and represents failure from a capacity perspective (just as delay in excess of $80 \mathrm{~s} /$ veh represents failure from a delay perspective).

The following lists the LOS thresholds established for motorized vehicle mode at a signalized intersection.

| CONTROL DELAY (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq 1.0$ | $\geq 1.0$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10-20$ | B | F |
| $>20-35$ | C | F |
| $>35-55$ | D | F |
| $>55-80$ | E | F |
| $>80$ | F | F |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ For approach-based and intersection wide assessments, LOS is defined solely by control delay.

## Two Way Stop Controlled Intersections

The evaluation criteria used to analyze Two-Way Stop Controlled (TWSC) intersections is based on the procedures set forth in the latest version of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) ${ }^{1}$.

Level of service (LOS) for a TWSC intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay. For motor vehicles, LOS is determined for each minor street movement (or shared movement), as well as the major -street left turns, by using the criteria given in the Table below. LOS is not defined for the intersection as a whole or for major-street approaches for three primary reasons: (a) major-street through vehicles are assumed to experience zero delay; (b) the disproportionate number of major-street through vehicles at a typical TWSC intersection skews the weighted average of all movements, resulting in a very low overall average delay for all vehicles; and (c) the resulting low delay can mask LOS deficiencies for minor movements. LOS F is assigned to a movement if its volume-to-capacity ratio exceeds 1.0, regardless of the control delay.

The LOS criteria for TWSC intersections differ somewhat from the criteria for signalized intersections, primarily because user perceptions differ among transportation facility types. The expectation is that a signalized intersection is designed to carry higher traffic volumes and will present greater delay than an unsignalized intersection. Unsignalized intersections are also associated with more uncertainty for users, as delays are less predictable than they are at signals.

The levels of service range between level of service A (relatively congestion-free) and level of service F (very congested).

The following thresholds are used to determine TWSC levels of service:

| CONTROL DELAY (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 1.0$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \geq 1.0$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10-15$ | B | F |
| $>15-25$ | C | F |
| $>25-35$ | D | F |
| $>35-50$ | E | F |
| $>50$ | F | F |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The LOS criteria apply to each lane on a given approach and to each approach on the minor street. LOS is not calculated for major-street approaches or for the intersection as a whole.

## All Way Stop Controlled Intersections

The evaluation criteria used to analyze All-Way Stop Controlled (AWSC) intersections is based on the procedures set forth in the latest version of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) ${ }^{1}$.

The level of service of an AWSC intersection are the criteria by which the quality of traffic service is measured. The levels of service range between level of service $A$ (relatively congestion-free) and level of service F (very congested).

AWSC intersections are a type of unsignalized intersection that require drivers on all approaches to stop at the intersection before proceeding. Because each driver must stop, the decision to proceed into the intersection is a function of traffic conditions on the other approaches. If no traffic is present on the other approaches, a driver can proceed immediately after stopping. If there is traffic on one or more of the other approaches, a driver proceeds only after determining that no vehicles are currently in the intersection and that it is the driver's turn to proceed. The AWSC methodology analyzes each intersection approach separately.

The key variable in determining the capacity of an AWSC intersection is the distribution of traffic volumes among the approaches. Under ideal conditions traffic would be
evenly distributed among the approaches. The flow rate for any given approach increases as the traffic decreases on the other approaches, allowing a smaller headway between vehicles departing from the stop line.

The following thresholds are used to determine AWSC levels of service:

| CONTROL DELAY (s/veh) | LOS by Volume-to-Capacity Ratio ${ }^{a}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \leq 1.0$ | $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \geq 1.0$ |
| $\leq 10$ | A | F |
| $>10-15$ | B | F |
| $>15-25$ | C | F |
| $>25-35$ | D | F |
| $>35-50$ | E | F |
| $>50$ | F | F |

[^4]Attachment D - Capacity Analysis Worksheets

| Movement | \% EBL | $\rightarrow$ | EBR | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT | WBR | 4 NBL | ¢ NBT | NBR | SBL | ¢ SBT | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 108 | 486 | 123 | 11 | 400 | 10 | 128 | 33 | 37 | 8 | 12 | 52 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 108 | 486 | 123 | 11 | 400 | 10 | 128 | 33 | 37 | 8 | 12 | 52 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1885 | 1870 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1976 | 1976 | 1945 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 115 | 517 | 116 | 12 | 426 | 11 | 136 | 35 | 19 | 9 | 13 | 14 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 724 | 1409 | 1184 | 602 | 1367 | 35 | 227 | 41 | 237 | 107 | 128 | 138 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 967 | 1885 | 1584 | 806 | 1829 | 47 | 1077 | 277 | 1610 | 1426 | 870 | 937 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 115 | 517 | 116 | 12 | 0 | 437 | 171 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 27 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 967 | 1885 | 1584 | 806 | 0 | 1877 | 1354 | 0 | 1610 | 1426 | 0 | 1807 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 4.2 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 11.5 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.03 | 0.80 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.52 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 724 | 1409 | 1184 | 602 | 0 | 1403 | 267 | 0 | 237 | 107 | 0 | 266 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.64 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 724 | 1409 | 1184 | 602 | 0 | 1403 | 439 | 0 | 424 | 272 | 0 | 476 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.00 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.8 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 40.2 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 45.8 | 0.0 | 35.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In | 0.8 | 2.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 6.3 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 41.2 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 45.9 | 0.0 | 35.1 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | D | A | D | D | A | D |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 748 |  |  | 449 |  |  | 190 |  |  | 36 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.3 |  |  | 40.6 |  |  | 37.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 76.0 |  | 19.0 |  | 76.0 |  | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 60.0 |  | 25.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 13.5 |  | 14.5 |  | 11.6 |  | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 10.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 6.2 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay HCM 6th LOS |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 10.3 \\ B \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.


[^5]| Movement | \% EBL | $\rightarrow$ | EBR | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT | WBR | 4 NBL | ¢ NBT | NBR | SBL | ¢ SBT | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 109 | 564 | 158 | 15 | 449 | 10 | 161 | 35 | 40 | 8 | 14 | 53 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 109 | 564 | 158 | 15 | 449 | 10 | 161 | 35 | 40 | 8 | 14 | 53 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1885 | 1870 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1976 | 1976 | 1945 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 116 | 600 | 153 | 16 | 478 | 11 | 171 | 37 | 23 | 9 | 15 | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 648 | 1358 | 1141 | 501 | 1322 | 30 | 263 | 42 | 281 | 108 | 158 | 158 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 921 | 1885 | 1584 | 721 | 1835 | 42 | 1112 | 241 | 1610 | 1419 | 906 | 906 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 116 | 600 | 153 | 16 | 0 | 489 | 208 | 0 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 30 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 921 | 1885 | 1584 | 721 | 0 | 1878 | 1353 | 0 | 1610 | 1419 | 0 | 1813 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 5.2 | 12.4 | 2.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear (g_c), s | 14.5 | 12.4 | 2.8 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 0.82 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.50 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 648 | 1358 | 1141 | 501 | 0 | 1352 | 305 | 0 | 281 | 108 | 0 | 317 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.18 | 0.44 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 648 | 1358 | 1141 | 501 | 0 | 1352 | 436 | 0 | 424 | 233 | 0 | 477 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 7.8 | 5.5 | 4.1 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 39.0 | 0.0 | 32.8 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 32.9 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In | 1.0 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 8.4 | 6.5 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 45.8 | 0.0 | 33.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | D | A | C | D | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 869 |  |  | 505 |  |  | 231 |  |  | 39 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 6.4 |  |  | 5.5 |  |  | 39.3 |  |  | 35.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 73.4 |  | 21.6 |  | 73.4 |  | 21.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 60.0 |  | 25.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s |  | 16.5 |  | 17.1 |  | 15.3 |  | 16.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 12.5 |  | 0.0 |  | 7.1 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay HCM 6th LOS |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ B \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
\|lvhblgbll|projJAlbanyl20908.00 Paramount Res Wiltonltech|Synchrol20908.00-Wilton Mall Residential Development-NB.şgnchro 11 Report VHB JWD

03/22/2022

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | A | F |  | l | $\mathbf{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 135 | 240 | 101 | 17 | 55 | 153 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 135 | 240 | 101 | 17 | 55 | 153 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 110 | - | - | - | 0 | 90 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 150 | 267 | 112 | 19 | 61 | 170 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 131 | 0 | - | 0 | 689 | 122 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 122 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 567 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.21 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.309 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1467 | - | - | - | 415 | 932 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 908 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 572 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1467 | - | - | - | 373 | 932 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 373 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 815 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.8 | 0 | 11.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1467 | - | - | - | 373 | 932 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.102 | - | - | - | 0.164 | 0.182 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | - | - | - | 16.5 | 9.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | C | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.6 | 0.7 |

[^6]| Movement | - | $\rightarrow$ | EBR | WBL | $*$ WBT | ( 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ <br> NBT | NBR | SBL | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | " | \% | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 109 | 575 | 185 | 15 | 473 | 10 | 174 | 35 | 40 | , | 14 | 53 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 109 | 575 | 185 | 15 | 473 | 10 | 174 | 35 | 40 | 8 | 14 | 53 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1900 | 1885 | 1870 | 1900 | 1885 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1976 | 1976 | 1945 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 116 | 612 | 182 | 16 | 503 | 11 | 185 | 37 | 23 | 9 | 15 | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 617 | 1339 | 1125 | 472 | 1305 | 29 | 278 | 42 | 297 | 108 | 167 | 167 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 900 | 1885 | 1584 | 694 | 1838 | 40 | 1130 | 226 | 1610 | 1419 | 906 | 906 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 116 | 612 | 182 | 16 | 0 | 514 | 222 | 0 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 30 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 900 | 1885 | 1584 | 694 | 0 | 1878 | 1356 | 0 | 1610 | 1419 | 0 | 1813 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 5.6 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 16.0 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 0.83 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.50 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 617 | 1339 | 1125 | 472 | 0 | 1334 | 320 | 0 | 297 | 108 | 0 | 334 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 617 | 1339 | 1125 | 472 | 0 | 1334 | 435 | 0 | 424 | 220 | 0 | 477 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 8.7 | 5.9 | 4.5 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 32.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/In | 1.1 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 9.3 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 39.8 | 0.0 | 32.1 | 45.8 | 0.0 | 32.2 |
| LnGrp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | D | A | C | D | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 910 |  |  | 530 |  |  | 245 |  |  | 39 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 6.9 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 39.0 |  |  | 35.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s |  | 72.5 |  | 22.5 |  | 72.5 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s |  | 60.0 |  | 25.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 16.2 |  | 17.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s |  | 13.1 |  | 0.0 |  | 7.6 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay HCM 6th LOS |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 11.8 \\ B \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 167 | 240 | 101 | 22 | 58 | 160 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 167 | 240 | 101 | 22 | 58 | 160 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 110 | - | - | - | 0 | 90 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% |  | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mvmt Flow | 186 | 267 | 112 | 24 | 64 | 178 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 136 | 0 | - | 0 | 763 | 124 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 124 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 639 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.1 | - | - | - | 6.4 | 6.21 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.4 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.2 | - | - | - | 3.5 | 3.309 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1461 | - | - | - | 375 | 929 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 907 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 530 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1461 | - | - | - | 327 | 929 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 327 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 792 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 530 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 3.2 | 0 | 12.2 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | B |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1461 | - | - | -327 | 929 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.127 | - | - | -0.197 | 0.191 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | - | - | - | 18.7 |

[^7]
## Town of Wilton -- Proposed zoning legislation

## Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD

SECTION I: Title of proposed PUD District.
This local law shall be known as "Local Law No. $\qquad$ of 20 $\qquad$ of the Town of Wilton amending the Code of the Town of Wilton, as adopted October 3, 1991, providing for the creation of a Planned Unit Development District to be known as "Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD."

SECTION II: The Code of the Town of Wilton, as adopted October 3, 1991, and the Zoning Map of the Town of Wilton set forth therein and made a part thereof are amended by changing from the existing zoning districts $\mathrm{C}-1$ as hereinafter described and creating within the boundaries of said newly described area a planned development district to be known and described as Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD.

SECTION III: The area of Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD consists of approximately 101 acres in the Town of Wilton and is bounded and described as set forth in Appendix A (legal description) and Appendix B (PUDD sketch plan), attached hereto and made a part hereof. The area is located at 3065 NY-50 and approximately bordered by Louden Road, NY-50, and Wilton Mall Road.

SECTION IV: The PUDD sketch plan, proposed uses, open space requirements, bulks standards, and parking requirements are set forth in Appendix B and are in the office of the Town Clerk. The Town Board may amend the sketch plan after a public hearing.

SECTION V: All parcels within the Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD are currently connected or otherwise have direct access to municipal water and sewer service. The district's current water and sewer capacities are sufficient to serve the redevelopment's projected demands.

SECTION VI: Established construction standards for buildings and public improvements, i.e., plans to be approved by licensed architect or engineer. Construction shall comply with New York State Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code. All construction shall be subject to inspection by Town Building Inspector, Town Engineer and Town Highway Superintendent.

SECTION VII: Construction to begin within 2 years of final approvals and issuance of all required permits. Construction will proceed in two phases as illustrated on Appendix B - PUDD Sketch Plan. Phase I will commence first and within the above timeframe.

SECTION VIII: All roads, drainage easements and rights-of-way shall be constructed by the developer and shall be in accordance with the Town Building Code and Chapter 109, Town Subdivision Regulations, of the Code of the Town of Wilton, and shall be offered without cost to the Town of Wilton for public use.

SECTION IX: Uses permitted in PUDD are set forth in Appendix B. Developer shall follow procedures of Chapter 129, Article XXIII Site Plan Review, of the Code of the Town of Wilton and the procedures of Chapter 109, Town Subdivision Regulations, of the Code of the Town of Wilton; uses shall be limited except to those approved by the Town Board in this local law. The Town Board acknowledges that the new lots created for the apartment units of Phase 1 and the
townhome rental units for Phase 2 will not meet several criteria under Chapter 109 et seq. Subdivision of Land (access to a public street, for example) and the criteria under Chapter 129, Article XXIII - Site Plan Review and Article XXIV - Additional Regulations (maximum lot coverage or principal buildings per lot, for examples). The Town Board finds that the benefits of the projects contemplated by this PUDD outweigh the utility of strict application of the subdivision regulations and site plan criteria and, as such, the Planning Board is authorized and directed by the enactment of this PUDD to waive strict application of the Zoning Code (specifically including Chapter 109 et seq. Subdivision of Land and Chapter 129 Article XXIII Site Plan Review and Article XXIV - Additional Regulations) to the degree necessary or useful to accomplish the projects and plans depicted on the PUDD sketch plan attached as Appendix B.

SECTION X: Submission of plans. The developer shall, in accordance Chapter 129, Article XXIII Site Plan Review, of the Code of the Town of Wilton and Subdivision Regulations, and subject to the provisions of Section IX herein submit plans for approval of each phase of construction prior to the issuance of a building permit.

SECTION XI: This local law shall take effect immediately upon filing in the office of the Secretary of State.
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## Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD

## APPENDIX A

## Legal description of the Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD:

All that tract or parcel of land containing 100.961 acres, more or less, situate in Great Lots 2 and 3, 18th Allotment, Kayaderosseras Patent, in the Town of Wilton, Saratoga County, New York and being more particularly bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the northerly right-of-way line of Louden Road (49.5' right-of-way), said point being the southeasterly corner of lands now or formerly Pyramid Centers and Company (Tax Map I.D. No. 153.00-03-048); thence

1. $\mathrm{N} 05^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the easterly boundary line of lands now or formerly of Pyramid Centers and Company, a distance of $1,663.94$ feet to a point located at the southwesterly corner of lands now or formerly Eileen and Lawrence Aronson (Tax Map I.D. No. 153.00-03-041); thence
2. $\mathrm{N} 56^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the southerly boundary line of the aforementioned Aronson lands, a distance of 500.00 feet to a set $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ rebar located at the southeasterly corner of the aforementioned Aronson lands; thence
3. $\mathrm{N} 05^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the easterly boundary line of the aforementioned Aronson lands, a distance of 424.12 feet to a set $5 / 8$ " rebar located on the southeasterly right-of-way line of New York State Route No. 50 (right-of-way width varies); thence
4. N $56^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 077^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the aforementioned southeasterly right-of-way line, a distance of 722.27 feet to a point; thence
5. S $33^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the southwesterly boundary line of a parcel now or to be conveyed to R \& M Woodbury Partnership, a distance of 588.21 feet to an angle point; thence
6. S $84^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, along the southerly boundary line of a parcel now or to be conveyed to R \& M Woodbury Partnership, a distance of 530.79 feet to a point on the westerly boundary line of lands now or formerly County of Saratoga (Tax Map I.D. No. 154.00-01-048); thence
7. $S 04^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, along the westerly boundary line of the aforementioned County of Saratoga lands, a distance of $2,241.71$ feet to a point on the northerly right-of- way line of Louden Road (49.5' right-of-way); thence westerly, along the northerly right-of-way line of Louden Road, the following three courses:
8. S $75^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 499^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of 261.64 feet to a point of curvature; thence
9. Westerly, along a curve to the right, having a radius of 675.25 feet, through a central angle of $19^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$, a distance of 226.72 feet to a point of tangency; thence
10. $\mathrm{N} 85^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, a distance of $1,400.77$ feet to the point of beginning.
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## Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD

## APPENDIX B

1. Permitted uses.

The following uses are allowed in the Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD as demarcated into the following zones on the sketch plan:

- Commercial Zone ( 87.4 acres)
- All uses permitted in the C-1 Commercial District zone (see Schedule H)
- Educational institution facilities*
- Multifamily Zone (13.6 acres)
- All uses permitted in the Commercial Zone
- Dwelling, Multifamily
* New use defined below (all other uses defined in section 129-4)

2. Special use permit uses.

The following uses are allowed with the issuance of a special use permit:

- All uses permitted by special permit in the C-1 Commercial District zone (see Schedule H)

3. Additional requirements
A. Height and bulk
a. Minimum perimeter building setback from district boundary: 30 feet
b. Minimum perimeter building setback from public streets for buildings over 3 stories: 250 feet
c. Maximum building height (measured from the average elevation of the proposed finished grade around the perimeter of the building to the finished floor elevation of highest occupied level): 55 feet
d. Maximum building coverage: $30 \%$
e. Paved area setbacks
i. 50 feet minimum along street frontages and where the Wilton Mall MixedUse PUDD abuts any residential district
ii. 15 feet minimum in all other conditions
B. Parking
a. Required parking shall be based on a ratio of at least 4.0 spaces per 1,000 square feet of gross leasable area (GLA).
b. Exceptions to minimum required parking requirements:
i. Hotel/Motel/Inn: 1.0 per room
ii. Multifamily residential: 1.5 per dwelling unit
C. Green space
a. At least $25 \%$ of the gross area of the Wilton Mall Mixed-Use PUDD shall be green space.
D. Residential density
a. There shall be no more than 400 residential units within the Wilton Mall MixedUse PUDD.
E. Additional requirements for Townhouses
a. Minimum unit sizes shall be 600 square feet and not less than 14 feet in width
b. Where more than one building is constructed, there shall be a minimum of 20 feet between the buildings
c. There shall be no more than 15 individual dwellings attached by common or party walls per floor in any building

DEFINITION FOR NEW USE INTRODUCED BY THE WILTON MALL MIXED-USE PUDD (TO BE ADDED TO SECTION 129-4):

- Educational institution facilities: Buildings, facilities, and grounds serving educational institutions such as community colleges, technical schools, and universities, including but not limited to classrooms, administrative offices, student services, libraries, workspaces, multimedia studios, lounges, food service, clinics, and research space.


\section*{(1) Stantec <br>  <br> Nasind <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> |  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |}


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Wilton Mall is comprised of 4 tax parcels as follows: (i) Parcel 153.-3-86.112 consisting of 2.24 acres and owned by Penney Property Sub Holdings, LLC and occupied by JC Penny, (ii) Parcel 153.-3-86.12 consisting of 3.74 acres and owned by LBW Saratoga, LLC and occupied by BJ's, (iii) Parcel 153.-3-86.2 consisting of 1.24 acres and owned by Wilton Mall, LLC - Macerich RET and occupied by Dick's Sporting Goods, and (iv) the remaining 93.59 acres owned by Wilton Mall, LLC - Macerich RET. Collectively the four parcels totaling approximately 101 acres and zoned C-1 are referred to as the Wilton Mall.

[^1]:    1 ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington D.C., September 2021

[^2]:    Attachments

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Highway Capacity Manual, 6th Edition, Transportation Research Board, Washington D.C., 2016.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For approaches and intersection wide assessment, LOS is defined solely by control delay.

[^5]:    \|lvhblgbllproj|Albanyl20908.00 Paramount Res Wiltonltech|Synchrol20908.00-Witton Mall Residential Development-EX.s\&gnchro 11 Report VHB JWD

[^6]:    \|lvhblgbllprojAAlbanyl20908.00 Paramount Res WiltonltechlSynchrol20908.00-Wilton Mall Residential Development-NB.şgnchro 11 Report VHB JWD

[^7]:    \|vhblgbllproj|Albanyl20908.00 Paramount Res Wiltonltech|Synchrol20908.00-Witton Mall Residential Development-BD.s§gnchro 11 Report VHB JWD

